

<https://doi.org/10.31891/2307-5732-2025-355-19>  
УДК 637.5.02

**ДАНЧАК ОРЕСТ**

Національний університет «Львівська політехніка»  
<https://orcid.org/0009-0004-8060-1857>  
e-mail: [orest.i.danchak@lpnu.ua](mailto:orest.i.danchak@lpnu.ua)

**ВОВК ОЛЕНА**

Національний університет «Львівська політехніка»  
<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5523-0901>  
e-mail: [olena.b.vovk@lpnu.ua](mailto:olena.b.vovk@lpnu.ua)

## АЛГОРИТМІЧНІ ПІДХОДИ ДО АВТОМАТИЗОВАНОГО ВІДБОРУ КАНДИДАТІВ

*Швидка трансформація бізнес-процесів у всьому світі через застосування моделей машинного навчання є одним із ключових методів підвищення ефективності. У цій ситуації найважливішою необхідністю є використання алгоритмічних підходів для автоматизації процесу рекрутингу. У цій статті ми представляємо поглиблене дослідження алгоритмічних підходів, які можна використовувати для автоматизації відбору кандидатів, з основною метою забезпечення ефективності та стійкості процесу найму, а також вирішення проблем упередження, суб'єктивності та непослідовності, властивих відбору, що проводиться людьми. Це дослідження має на меті з'ясувати, як можна досягти стратегічних покращень процесу шляхом застосування різноманітних алгоритмічних підходів та методів машинного навчання.*

*Алгоритмічні підходи до автоматизованого скринінгу трансформують початкові етапи відбору кандидатів, обіцяючи підвищену ефективність та масштабованість в управлінні великими пулами кандидатів. Ця стаття зосереджена на науковому огляді цих алгоритмічних методів, категоризації та аналізі систем, що базуються на правилах, технологій обробки природної мови (NLP) та алгоритмів класифікації машинного навчання (ML). Вона оцінює їхні можливості, методології та показники ефективності, включаючи точність, прецизійність та неупередженість, а також обговорює емпіричні дані з реальних застосувань. Огляд підкреслює виявлені критичні виклики залежності від даних, потенційного посилення упереджень, необхідності прозорості алгоритмів та дотримання правил обробки персональних даних. Запропоновано напрями для майбутніх досліджень, наголошуючи на розробці надійних, справедливих та зрозумілих алгоритмів для забезпечення відповідального та ефективного автоматизованого відбору кандидатів у рекрутингу.*

*Ключові слова:* підбір кадрів, рішення AI, комп'ютерні науки, штучний інтелект, алгоритми.

**DANCHAK OREST, VOVK OLENA**

Lviv Polytechnic National University

## ALGORITHMIC APPROACHES TO AUTOMATED CANDIDATE SCREENING

*The rapid transformation of business processes worldwide through the application of machine learning models is one of the key methods for increasing efficiency. In this situation, the most important necessity is using algorithmic approaches to automate the recruitment process. In this article, we present an in-depth study of algorithmic approaches, which can be used to automate candidate screening, with the primary objective of ensuring the effectiveness and sustainability of the hiring process together with addressing prejudice, subjectivity and inconsistency issues of human-based screening. This study aims to clarify how strategic process improvements can be made by applying various algorithmic approaches and machine learning techniques.*

*Algorithmic approaches to automated screening are transforming the initial stages of candidate selection, promising enhanced efficiency and scalability in managing large applicant pools. This article is focused on a scientific review of these algorithmic methods, categorizing and analyzing rule-based systems, natural language processing (NLP) techniques, and machine learning (ML) classification algorithms. It evaluates their capabilities, methodologies, and performance metrics, including accuracy, precision, and fairness, and discusses empirical findings from real-world applications. The review underscores critical identified challenges of data dependency, the potential for bias amplification, the need for algorithm transparency, and following rules for personal data processing. Future research directions are proposed, emphasizing the development of robust, fair, and explainable algorithms to ensure responsible and effective automated candidate screening in recruitment.*

*Keywords:* recruitment, AI solutions, computer science, artificial intelligence, algorithms.

Стаття надійшла до редакції / Received 13.05.2025

Прийнята до друку / Accepted 06.06.2025

### Постановка проблеми

The surge in online applications in modern recruitment calls for advanced automated screening solutions. Methods and means of Machine learning (ML) are at the foreground of algorithmic approaches designed to meet described need. Those approaches were specially designed to deal with processing massive and vast dataset challenges with unprecedented efficiency and accuracy, which is de-facto and candidate selection via processing their resumes. Despite this promise, significant challenges accompany the application of ML in candidate screening. These include the dependency on large, unbiased datasets, the risk of algorithms learning and amplifying existing societal biases, and the often opaque nature of ML models, which can hinder transparency and accountability. This article aims to provide a focused scientific examination of machine learning techniques applied to automated candidate screening. It will analyze the methodologies, evaluate the performance metrics specific to ML applications (such as predictive accuracy and fairness metrics), and discuss the empirical evidence and real-world implications. Ultimately, we are aiming to reveal both the potential and pitfalls of machine learning in this domain and continue future research towards proposing concrete solutions for automated candidate selection in employment through the application of machine learning techniques.

The objectives of this study are:

- 1) Systematically Review Algorithmic Approaches: to conduct a comprehensive review of the scientific literature on algorithmic approaches applied to automated candidate screening in recruitment.
- 2) Classify Algorithmic Techniques: to categorize and describe the primary algorithmic techniques used, explicitly focusing on rule-based systems, Natural Language Processing (NLP), and Machine Learning (ML) classification algorithms.
- 3) Analyze Methodologies and Workflows: to analyze each algorithmic approach's underlying methodologies and operational workflows in the context of candidate screening processes.
- 4) Evaluate Performance Across Key Metrics: to rigorously evaluate the reported performance of these algorithmic methods using typical metrics like accuracy, and fairness indicators, drawing from empirical studies and real-world applications.
- 5) Identify Critical Success Factors and Limitations: to narrow down the critical factors that have a major influence on the success or failure of automated candidate screening.
- 6) Investigate Sources and Impacts of Bias: to investigate the potential sources of bias in algorithmic screening systems, analyze their impact on candidate selection outcomes, and explore existing mitigation strategies.
- 7) To Recommend Future Research and Development: to propose specific and actionable directions for future research and development efforts to advance the field of algorithmic candidate screening.

#### Analysis of recent sources

Automation of recruitment based on machine learning models is the subject of many scientific studies, as it affects all sectors of the economy and society. Human potential is the most valuable resource, which we now, more than ever, feel in Ukraine in particular. That is why companies strive to ensure their recruitment processes are the most effective.

In the article [1], the authors conclude that the Automatic HR Recruitment System leverages Natural Language Processing (NLP) and Machine Learning (ML) algorithms to automate candidate screening, enhancing efficiency and reducing biases in hiring processes[1]. Traditional recruitment methods often suffer from delays, human fatigue, and subjective assessments, which the proposed system addresses by integrating real-time candidate evaluations through keyword-based resume screening, image and video analysis, and movement tracking. During virtual interviews, the system incorporates random image capture and body language analysis to assess engagement, confidence, and communication skills. The authors suggest using a mathematical scoring model to calculate a candidate's final evaluation score by weighting their movement analysis, random image capture, and educational background. Candidate shortlisting is much better streamlined with such solution strategy, ensuring the suggested decision is data-driven. The research concludes that AI-powered automation provides a competitive advantage for organizations in identifying and securing top talents[1].

The next interesting study, related to the research topic, explores the challenges of building and auditing fair machine learning algorithms for candidate screening, focusing on pymetrics, a startup that employs ML models to evaluate job candidates[2]. The authors propose a cooperative audit framework that allows independent researchers to assess algorithmic fairness while maintaining transparency and accountability. The audit focuses on pymetrics' use of the four-fifths rule from U.S. employment law to mitigate bias and ensure compliance with fairness standards[2].

The findings reveal that pymetrics' candidate screening tool adheres to fairness regulations by using adverse impact testing and algorithmic de-biasing techniques before model deployment. The results indicate that pymetrics' system effectively mitigates bias, but potential limitations exist in demographic data diversity and model customization for clients. The paper concludes by recommending best practices for conducting cooperative audits, urging companies to engage in transparent and independent algorithm evaluations to build trust and accountability in AI-driven hiring systems[2].

Another article, "Psychology Meets Machine Learning"[3], examines whether we can improve algorithmic job candidate screening by integrating psychological principles with machine learning. It explains that traditional psychological assessments rely on constructs, reliability, and validity to capture latent traits and human behaviour. On the other hand, machine learning techniques are trying to identify patterns and predict outcomes via high-dimensional data and models. The authors identify similarities between exploratory factor analysis in psychology and unsupervised learning methods in machine learning, highlighting both likenesses and differences. They emphasize that for automated systems to be trusted, they must provide transparent and explainable decisions. The article discusses how effective candidate screening depends on clearly defining and measuring KSAOs (Knowledge, Skills, Abilities, and Other characteristics). It illustrates that combining data-driven approaches with traditional methods can lead to more efficient and fair assessments. Creation of an explainable candidate assessment system can significantly benefit from interdisciplinary collaboration, including ethical considerations, including fairness, transparency, and accountability. Ultimately, the article recommends continued collaboration between psychologists and machine learning experts to develop robust, trustworthy hiring tools[3].

The article [4] conducts a systematic literature review on the use of artificial intelligence in recruiting and selection within HRM. It examines the ethical dimensions of AI by framing the debate with traditional ethical theories such as utilitarianism, justice, and rights. The review identifies three main thematic lines of enquiry, one focusing on process efficiency, captured as the efficient optimization of R&S through AI. It also highlights concerns over fairness, summarized by the phrase "[the perceptions of justice and fairness related to AI techniques]". Additionally, the review

stresses the need to protect individual privacy and human rights, expressed as the respect for legal and human rights requirements when AI is applied[4]. The authors systematically analyzed 120 high-quality articles to provide a comprehensive perspective on these ethical issues. They demonstrate that AI can streamline recruiting processes by automating routine tasks and efficiently processing large amounts of candidate data. However, they also reveal that AI may introduce biases, leading to potential discrimination. So, transparency in solutions build using AI methods and means is identified as a crucial factor in establishing trust among stakeholders. The authors stress the need of close collaboration between HR professionals and AI solution team to balance efficiency with fairness. Also it is important to follow the law regulations, such as the GDPR, which play a vital role in safeguarding candidate rights, so the solution could be allowed for production usage. The review calls for an integrative ethical framework that combines the benefits of AI with core principles of justice and respect for human dignity. This framework will guide future research and practice in developing responsible AI systems in HRM. Overall, while AI offers transformative potential for recruitment, its success hinges on addressing ethical challenges to ensure decisions are transparent, fair, and compliant, the efficient optimization of R&S through AI; the perceptions of justice and fairness related to AI techniques; the respect for legal and human rights requirements when AI is applied[4].

The article, titled "[Retracted] Enterprise Human Resource Management Model by Artificial Intelligence Digital Technology"[5], proposed an innovative framework to integrate AI into enterprise HR management. It aimed to transform traditional HR processes such as recruitment, training, and performance evaluation through advanced digital technologies. The authors argue regarding leveraging artificial intelligence on decision-making and resource allocation in HR departments and performance boost of such a usage. The proposed model sought to automate routine tasks and provide real-time analytics to support strategic HR initiatives. A central claim was that such integration would lead to "a paradigm shift in enterprise HRM"[5]. Together with defining these ambitious objectives, the study dealt with some critical methodological and ethical challenges during its elaboration. Unfortunately, the reliability of the findings were fully compromised by the reliability of the findings, leading to the article's retraction. The retraction highlights the importance of rigorous validation and ethical oversight when using AI in HR processes, particularly due to the sensitive nature of personal data involved. It serves as a reminder that any transformative technological proposals must be carefully examined to ensure they comply with industry standards. While the article envisioned a bold future for AI-driven HR management, its retraction cautions against premature adoption without solid empirical support.

The article by Zolotukha and Glazunova[6] introduces a text recognition algorithm designed to automate candidate selection for IT projects. The implemented solution utilizes natural language processing techniques and Python libraries to extract information from PDF resumes effectively. It accurately identifies key data such as education, skills, and contact information, facilitating a structured presentation of candidate profiles, which typically differ significantly. As study summarizes, the proposed system significantly reduces the manual workload typically required in candidate screening by automating the extraction process. Tools like PDF Plumber and Spacy are used for PDF documents parsing and processing. The algorithm has been tested on a dataset of resumes, and results achieved point that the system enhances the recruitment workflow by optimizing time and resource utilization. The article also discusses potential improvements, including support for multiple languages and enhanced recognition of IT-specific skills. Overall, the research shows that advanced NLP and machine learning techniques can significantly streamline recruitment processes, proving that[6].

Albassam's 2023 analytical review surveys[7] both academic studies and industry reports to map the current landscape of AI-driven recruitment strategies. It groups the tools into eight major categories—résumé screening, candidate-job matching engines, AI-assisted video interviewing, chatbots, predictive-analytics dashboards, gamified or VR-based assessments, and social-media screening—and shows how each can shorten cycle time, cut costs, and improve hire quality. The paper underscores, however, that these gains come with significant ethical and legal risks, most notably the amplification of historical biases and the opacity of complex algorithms. Albassam argues that transparency measures, bias audits, and alignment with evolving regulatory standards (e.g., GDPR and EEOC guidelines) are pre-conditions for responsible deployment. He highlights emerging best practices such as explainable-AI techniques, representative training data, and human-in-the-loop oversight to mitigate discrimination. The review concludes by calling for longitudinal research to test real-world outcomes and for interdisciplinary collaboration to balance efficiency with fairness as AI continues to reshape recruitment.

#### **Виклад основного матеріалу**

Automated candidate screening has become an essential part of contemporary recruitment processes. As organizations aim to enhance efficiency and accuracy in identifying top talent, several algorithmic approaches have emerged, each with its strengths and challenges. This chapter will discuss four primary methodologies: rule-based systems, natural language processing (NLP) for screening, machine learning (ML) classification algorithms, and hybrid algorithmic approaches.

##### **1) Rule-Based Systems**

Rule-based systems, which are the first approach from historical perspective, are one of the most established approaches in automated candidate screening, relying on clearly defined if-then rules to evaluate resumes. Such solutions utilize expert knowledge to set explicit criteria, such as mandatory educational degrees or specific skill sets, and prevent further steps for candidate/resume processing in the flow if defined requirements are not met. Very easy and straightforward interpretability is a key advantage of such systems, hence as every decision made by the system

can be directly traced back to a particular rule, providing transparency and ease of auditing. For instance, a rule-based system might automatically filter out any resume that does not contain critical keywords like "education" or "patterns" required for a concrete IT role. However, together with obvious simplicity benefits, approach possess strictness disadvantage - they often struggle to adapt to the nuances and variations present in real-world resumes and constant market changes. Consequently, maintaining and updating the rules becomes a continuous effort to align with changing job market dynamics and evolving industry standards. Some implementations integrate fuzzy logic to assign weighted scores rather than binary decisions, thereby accommodating a degree of uncertainty in candidate data. Despite their limitations in flexibility and learning capability, rule-based systems can be effectively used as preliminary filtering mechanisms, which significantly narrows down the targeted pool of candidates for the next steps or more advanced screening procedures. They also facilitate compliance with organizational policies and legal requirements, as each rule can be documented and reviewed systematically. Ultimately, these systems lay a robust foundation for hybrid approaches, where they work in tandem with machine learning and NLP techniques to enhance overall screening efficiency and accuracy.

## **2) Natural Language Processing (NLP) in Screening**

With the increasing volume of unstructured data in resumes and cover letters, natural language processing (NLP) has become indispensable in the candidate screening process. NLP techniques enable the extraction and interpretation of relevant information from text documents. For instance, Named Entity Recognition (NER) can identify educational credentials, work experiences, and technical skills embedded within resumes. Additionally, sentiment analysis and keyword extraction help assess candidate suitability based on language patterns. NLP tools, such as tokenization, lemmatization, and pattern matching, provide the means to standardize diverse data formats, making it easier to integrate unstructured information into automated screening workflows. The flexibility and scalability of NLP methodologies have significantly enhanced the ability to process large volumes of applicant data.

## **3) Machine Learning (ML) Classification Algorithms**

Machine learning (ML) classification algorithms represent a more advanced approach to candidate screening. Unlike rule-based systems, ML models learn from historical data to identify patterns and predict candidate success. Common algorithms include logistic regression, decision trees, support vector machines (SVM), random forests, and deep neural networks. These models can analyze various features extracted from resumes—such as skill sets, educational background, and work experience—and estimate a candidate's fit for a given role. One of the main strengths of ML classification algorithms is their ability to improve as more data becomes available continuously. However, their performance heavily depends on the quality and representativeness of the training data, and they may act as a "black box," making it challenging to interpret the reasoning behind specific decisions.

## **4) Hybrid Algorithmic Approaches**

Hybrid approaches combine the strengths of rule-based systems, NLP techniques, and ML classification algorithms to create more robust and flexible candidate screening systems. By integrating rule-based filters with NLP-driven data extraction and ML-based predictive analytics, hybrid systems can effectively manage structured and unstructured data. For instance, a hybrid system might first apply rule-based criteria to eliminate unsuitable resumes, then use NLP to extract and standardize key information, and finally employ an ML classifier to rank the remaining candidates based on predicted job performance. This multi-layered approach offers several benefits: it enhances overall screening accuracy, allows for the incorporation of domain-specific knowledge, and provides transparency through rule-based components while leveraging the adaptability of machine learning. Hybrid systems are particularly well-suited for dynamic recruitment environments, where efficiency and precision are paramount.

In summary, the evolution of algorithmic approaches to automated candidate screening reflects recruitment challenges increasing complexity and diversity. Rule-based systems offer simplicity and transparency, while NLP techniques enable the processing of unstructured text data. ML classification algorithms provide data-driven insights that improve with experience, and hybrid approaches combine these methods to balance flexibility, accuracy, and interpretability. As organizations continue to refine their screening processes, integrating these algorithmic methodologies will be key to developing efficient, scalable, and fair recruitment systems.

Researchers investigating the efficacy of algorithmic screening methods in recruitment commonly employ a range of empirical study designs to ensure comprehensive and reliable findings. Analysis of historical hiring data is a prevalent approach, anticipating that collected information on job offers, rejections, and employee performance was collected and then used to train and test various automated screening models. These retrospective studies often involve large-scale datasets featuring candidate attributes, resume text, interview outcomes, and subsequent job performance metrics. A second method involves controlled experiments in which an automated system screens a designated portion of applicants while the remaining candidates undergo a traditional human-based review. This setup allows direct, real-time accuracy, speed, and fairness comparisons. Some organizations further opt for field deployments that integrate algorithmic tools into live hiring workflows, enabling researchers to measure performance metrics such as time savings, recruiter satisfaction, and candidate drop-off rates in real operational environments. Across all these designs, researchers pay particular attention to data quality, ensuring that information is both representative and free from privacy or legal constraints. Data quality anticipates strict validation protocols to ensure that reported results are protected from overfitting and maintain credibility, which frequently include k-fold cross-validation, holdout testing, or A/B testing against established baselines.

Table 1

Comparative analysis table of different screening methods

Approach	Accuracy	Efficiency	Fairness	Interpretability	Implementation Complexity
<i>Rule-Based</i>	<b>Low-to-moderate</b> overall accuracy, heavily dependent on how well the rules capture critical job requirements. At risk of missing nuanced candidate skills and potential if criteria are too rigid	<b>High</b> efficiency due to simple criteria and quick filtering. Minimal computational resources; easy scaling for large applicant pools.	<b>Prone to embedded</b> biases if historical or human-derived rules inadvertently exclude certain demographics. Requires ongoing updates to maintain fairness, especially when role requirements evolve.	<b>Very High</b> interpretability, as all decision logic is transparent and easy to trace. However, simplified rules can mask complex hiring needs	<b>Low</b> complexity, typically the easiest to develop and deploy. Requires careful consideration of rule coverage and updates.
<i>NLP-Based</i>	<b>Moderate-to-High</b> accuracy for text-heavy data (résumés, cover letters). Excels in capturing context and keyword variations.	<b>Moderate</b> efficiency: more complex text parsing can be computationally heavier. Still scales well with adequate infrastructure and careful pipeline design	<b>Bias</b> can creep in if training data reflects historical or societal biases, especially in language patterns. Requires periodic audits of keyword relevance and sentiment to maintain fairness.	<b>Moderate</b> interpretability: NLP pipelines can be explained via token/feature importance but can become opaque with advanced semantic models. Understanding how text is parsed can require domain expertise	<b>Moderate</b> complexity, as NLP techniques need specialized libraries and knowledge. Tuning and maintaining language models can be non-trivial.
<i>Machine Learning (ML)</i>	<b>High-to-Very High</b> accuracy, leveraging complex patterns in both structured and unstructured data. Often outperforms simpler methods.	<b>High</b> efficiency once models are trained; inference on new candidates is typically fast. Training can be resource-intensive, especially with large or complex datasets.	<b>Significant concern</b> about bias if the training dataset is skewed or contains hidden proxies for protected attributes. Fairness-aware models (e.g., adversarial debiasing) can mitigate this issue but may slightly reduce accuracy.	<b>Varies:</b> tree-based models (e.g., Random Forest, GBM) offer feature importance, whereas deep neural networks are less transparent. Explainable AI tools (e.g., LIME, SHAP) help but can be complex to implement and interpret	<b>Moderate-to-High</b> complexity, demanding ML expertise and data engineering support. Ongoing maintenance needed for model retraining and drift mitigation
<i>Hybrid Approaches</i>	<b>Moderate-to-High</b> accuracy: leverages the interpretability of rules plus the predictive depth of ML or NLP. Can outperform either approach alone if well-integrated.	<b>High</b> efficiency: quick rule-based elimination of clearly unqualified candidates reduces the workload for the ML/NLP stage. Potentially more computational overhead overall, but more streamlined than full manual screening.	<b>Potentially improved</b> fairness if rules explicitly prohibit known biases and ML/NLP handles more nuanced decisions. Still susceptible to data bias, but combined monitoring can help catch issues early.	<b>Moderate</b> interpretability: rule-based filters are transparent, while advanced ML/NLP models may still act as black boxes at later stages. Greater clarity for stakeholders who want partial transparency along with predictive precision.	<b>High</b> complexity: integrating multiple components (rules + ML/NLP) requires careful design and continuous auditing. Collaboration between domain experts and data scientists is essential.

Empirical investigations into algorithmic screening consistently demonstrate the superior predictive performance of more advanced systems, such as machine learning or hybrid approaches, when compared to rudimentary rule-based tools. Researchers observe that the ability of machine learning and natural language processing models to extract nuanced insights from unstructured résumé data and application forms leads to higher accuracy and a broader identification of high-potential candidates. In Studies we revealed that considerable efficiency gains can be achieved by automating the early stages of candidate selection, with significant reductions in recruiter hours devoted to resume review and preliminary assessments. Despite these benefits, fairness remains an enduring challenge, and solutions we build should be aiming to achieve it. It is identified that historical biases embedded in training data can inadvertently disadvantage specific demographics, a phenomenon that persists even in sophisticated machine learning models. Fairness-aware algorithms and post hoc bias mitigation techniques have shown promise in reducing these disparities, though at times, they introduce minor trade-offs in raw predictive accuracy. Interpretability also emerges as a significant concern since models that rely on deep neural networks or complex ensemble methods may be perceived by hiring managers as “black boxes,” leading to hesitancy in fully trusting algorithm-driven decisions. As a result, to achieve reasonable, solution many organizations experiment with hybrid designs that combine transparent, rule-based filters with more advanced machine learning back-ends. In doing so, they preserve a sense of control and clarity for stakeholders while benefiting from data-driven insights. It goes without saying that algorithmic screening can be highly effective and efficient only if persistent attention to data integrity, fairness mechanisms, and explainability is preserved and ensured accurately and ethically.

Table 1 above illustrates how accuracy, efficiency, fairness, interpretability, and implementation complexity can vary considerably across automated screening methods. Rule-based systems remain popular in high-volume recruiting scenarios because they are quick to set up, easy to understand, and computationally light. However, these methods risk oversimplification, often failing to capture deeper candidate capabilities. NLP-based methods improve context recognition in résumés and cover letters, providing richer insights than rigid rule-based filters. While these can better parse complex or subtle skills, they may still inherit biases from historical language patterns unless carefully monitored.

Machine learning (ML) approaches generally yield the highest predictive accuracy and can easily integrate both structured and unstructured data inputs. Yet, high performance can be offset by challenges around interpretability and the need for robust bias mitigation strategies, mainly when the historical data used for training contains demographic imbalances. This trade-off frequently prompts organizations to explore hybrid approaches, where a rule layer establishes clear, minimum thresholds, and ML or NLP models refine the candidate pool to identify top prospects. Although hybrids can offer a balanced solution, the complexity of coordinating rule sets with predictive algorithms demands a multidisciplinary team, continuous model oversight, and iterative refinements.

To cut a long story short, it is fair to say that selecting a screening approach for an automated solution remains strictly dependent on organizational priority and the business decisions of the particular case. There would always be a debate on strategy - whether to maximize predictive power, ensure transparent and explainable results, adhere to strict fairness and compliance norms, or simply move candidates through the pipeline efficiently. As legal frameworks and public scrutiny around automated decision-making intensify, maintainable fairness practices and stakeholder acceptance have become as critical to success as raw model performance.

### Conclusions

Algorithmic approaches have progressed from simple rule-based filters to sophisticated hybrid stacks that blend Natural Language Processing (NLP) and machine-learning (ML) classifiers, giving organizations an unprecedented ability to process large applicant pools quickly and with higher predictive power than manual methods. Across empirical studies, these systems routinely cut recruiter time and time-to-hire by double-digit percentages while broadening the discovery of high-potential candidates. Yet the same studies expose a persistent accuracy-versus-equity tension: historical data and language patterns can encode gender, age or ethnic proxies, so even state-of-the-art ML models risk amplifying bias unless fairness-aware retraining, adverse-impact checks and transparent explainability layers (e.g., SHAP, LIME) are mandated throughout the lifecycle.

A fair-by-design hybrid architecture therefore emerges as best practice: explicit rule filters first exclude résumés containing protected-attribute proxies; NLP pipelines then normalize and enrich semi-structured text; finally, interpretable ML ranks the short-list and surfaces feature-importance dashboards and real-time bias metrics for auditability. Successful deployments share four governance cornerstones: continuously audited, representative datasets; built-in privacy compliance (e.g., GDPR); cross-functional teams that pair HR domain experts with data scientists; and drift-monitoring triggers that retrain models when accuracy or fairness thresholds are breached.

Looking ahead, the field must invest in causal debiasing algorithms that correct, rather than merely mask, historical inequities; multilingual and low-resource NLP to extend responsible automation to global talent pools; benchmarking suites that weight fairness alongside precision; and longitudinal studies of recruiter trust and candidate experience to validate human-AI partnership outcomes over time. Advancing these fronts will be pivotal to realizing efficient, transparent and genuinely equitable automated candidate-screening ecosystems..

### Література

1. Velaga, S. M., Satti, S. S. P., Balivada, S. K., Atta, H., & Battula, Y. (2024). Automatic HR recruitment

system: A multistage evaluation approach. In K. R. Madhavi (Ed.), *Proceedings of the International Conference on Computational Innovations and Emerging Trends (ICCIET 2024)* (Advances in Computer Science Research, Vol. 112, pp. 1143–1152). Atlantis Press. [https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-471-6\\_109](https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-471-6_109)

2. Wilson, C., Ghosh, A., Jiang, S., Mislove, A., Baker, L., Szary, J., Trindel, K., & Polli, F. (2021). Building and auditing fair algorithms: A case study in candidate screening. In *Proceedings of the 2021 ACM Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency (FAccT '21)* (pp. 666–677). Association for Computing Machinery. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3442188.3445928>

3. Liem, C. C. S., Langer, M., Demetriou, A., Hiemstra, A. M. F., Wicaksana, A. S., Born, M. P., & König, C. J. (2018). Psychology meets machine learning: Interdisciplinary perspectives on algorithmic job-candidate screening. In H. J. Escalante, S. Escalera, I. Guyon, X. Baró, P. Bischke, & M. F. Valstar (Eds.), *Explainable and interpretable models in computer vision and machine learning* (pp. 197–253). Springer. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-98131-4\\_9](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-98131-4_9)

4. Mori, M., Sasseti, S., Cavaliere, V., & Bonti, M. (2024). A systematic literature review on artificial intelligence in recruiting and selection: A matter of ethics. *Personnel Review*, 54(3), 854–878. <https://doi.org/10.1108/PR-03-2023-0257>

5. Yu, J. (2022). [Retracted] Enterprise human resource management model by artificial-intelligence digital technology. *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience*, 2022, Article 6186811. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/6186811>

6. Zolotukha, R., & Glazunova, O. (2023). Text recognition algorithm from PDF resumés to automate the selection of candidates for IT projects. *Informatsiini tekhnolohii ta suspilstvo – Information Technology and Society*, 3(9), 30–38\*. <https://doi.org/10.32689/maup.it.2023.3.4>

7. Albassam, W. A. (2023). The power of artificial intelligence in recruitment: An analytical review of current AI-based recruitment strategies. *International Journal of Professional Business Review*, 8(6), Article e02089. <https://doi.org/10.26668/businessreview/2023.v8i6.2089>

## References

1. Velaga, S. M., Satti, S. S. P., Balivada, S. K., Atta, H., & Battula, Y. (2024). Automatic HR recruitment system: A multistage evaluation approach. In K. R. Madhavi (Ed.), *Proceedings of the International Conference on Computational Innovations and Emerging Trends (ICCIET 2024)* (Advances in Computer Science Research, Vol. 112, pp. 1143–1152). Atlantis Press. [https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-471-6\\_109](https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-471-6_109)

2. Wilson, C., Ghosh, A., Jiang, S., Mislove, A., Baker, L., Szary, J., Trindel, K., & Polli, F. (2021). Building and auditing fair algorithms: A case study in candidate screening. In *Proceedings of the 2021 ACM Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency (FAccT '21)* (pp. 666–677). Association for Computing Machinery. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3442188.3445928>

3. Liem, C. C. S., Langer, M., Demetriou, A., Hiemstra, A. M. F., Wicaksana, A. S., Born, M. P., & König, C. J. (2018). Psychology meets machine learning: Interdisciplinary perspectives on algorithmic job-candidate screening. In H. J. Escalante, S. Escalera, I. Guyon, X. Baró, P. Bischke, & M. F. Valstar (Eds.), *Explainable and interpretable models in computer vision and machine learning* (pp. 197–253). Springer. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-98131-4\\_9](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-98131-4_9)

4. Mori, M., Sasseti, S., Cavaliere, V., & Bonti, M. (2024). A systematic literature review on artificial intelligence in recruiting and selection: A matter of ethics. *Personnel Review*, 54(3), 854–878. <https://doi.org/10.1108/PR-03-2023-0257>

5. Yu, J. (2022). [Retracted] Enterprise human resource management model by artificial-intelligence digital technology. *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience*, 2022, Article 6186811. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/6186811>

6. Zolotukha, R., & Glazunova, O. (2023). Text recognition algorithm from PDF resumés to automate the selection of candidates for IT projects. *Informatsiini tekhnolohii ta suspilstvo – Information Technology and Society*, 3(9), 30–38\*. <https://doi.org/10.32689/maup.it.2023.3.4>

7. Albassam, W. A. (2023). The power of artificial intelligence in recruitment: An analytical review of current AI-based recruitment strategies. *International Journal of Professional Business Review*, 8(6), Article e02089. <https://doi.org/10.26668/businessreview/2023.v8i6.2089>